

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/002,459	SKRZYNIARZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733	

All Participants:

(1) Sam Chuan C. Yao.

(2) Ms Cynthia Foulke.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Counsel that, the limitations in the intervening claims between independent claim 1 and allowable claim 12 are missing in a recently submitted amendment. Inquired Counsel if it is Counsel's intention to remove the limitations of the intervening claims. Counsel indicated that, those limitations were inadvertently left out. Counsel agreed to further amend claim 12 to include all limitations of intervening claims to place the application in condition for allowance.